Application/Control Number: 10/573,938 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

### DETAILED ACTION

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

# Lack of Unity - Four Groups of Claims

Group I, claim(s) 1-16, drawn to a composition comprising a metal-chelating ligand including a tetraazacyclododecane macrocycle ring core and at least two non-identical substituents covalently bonded to the ring core, wherein each of the at least two non-identical substituents contain a group canable of binding to a cell receptor.

Group II, claim(s) 17-21, drawn to a composition comprising a metal-chelating ligand including tetraazzeyclododecane macrocycle having one or more alkyl carboxylic acids or salts thereof appended to the ring nitrogens and a guanidine substituent covalently bonded to a ring nitrogen of the metal-chelating ligand via an alkyl linking group, an alkyl carbonyl linking group, or an alkyl amide linking group.

Group III, claims 22-24, drawn to a method of inhibiting tumor cell growth by administering to the tumor cells an effective amount of a composition including a compound having a metal-chelating ligand including tetraazyeyclododecane macrocycle having one or more alkyl carboxylic acids or salts thereof appended to the ring nitrogens and alpha-beta receptor binding ligand covalently bonded to a ring nitrogen of the metal-chelating ligand via an alkyl group linking group, an alkyl carbonyl linking group, or an alkyl amide linking group.

Group IV, claims 25-27, drawn to a method of inhibiting tumor cell growth, said method comprising administering to the cells a metal-chelating ligand including tetraazacyclododecane macrocycle ring having one or more alkyl carboxylic acids or salts theroof appended to the ring nitrogen(s), and a guanidine substituent covalently bonded to a ring nitrogen of the metal-chelating ligand via an alkyl linking group, an alkyl carbonyl linking group, or an alkyl amide linking group.

Art Unit: 1614

The inventions listed of Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because though all the claims contain the same technical feature, the technical feature "tetraazacyclododecane ring" and "metal complexes" (see abstract) is not novel and therefore does not qualify as a special technical feature (See US Patent 5,919,431 to Higler et al., abstract). Therefore a lack of unity amongst the inventions of Groups I-IV is proper.

## **Election of Specie Requirement**

Applicant is reminded under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Specifically, applicant is required to elect one compound. If applicant elects a specie from the above specie election which is not found in the instant disclosure as filed, specie election may be considered new matter.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement may to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) the identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention of species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election with traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions to be unpatentable over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,938 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other

invention.

Election/Restriction Proper

MPEP 809.02(d) states "[w]here only generic claims are presented, no restriction can be

required except in those applications where the generic claims recite such a multiplicity of

species that an unduly extensive burdensome search is necessary." Here, the claims recite such

a multiplicity of species that an unduly extensive and burdensome search would be necessary if

all of the claimed species were to be examined simultaneously.

The inventions above are patentably distinct. The search for each of the above inventions

is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Burden consists not only of

specific searching of classes and subclasses, but also of searching multiple databases for foreign

references and literature searches. Burden also resides in the examination of independent claim

sets for clarity, enablement and double patenting issues. Further, a reference that would

anticipate the invention of one group would anticipate or even make obvious the other group.

Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be undue

burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application and the restriction for

examination purposes as indicated above is deemed proper.

Inventorship Notice

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Reioinder Notice

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be

Art Unit: 1614

amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anna Pagonakis whose telephone number is 571-270-3505. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, 9am to 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,938 Page 7

Art Unit: 1614

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614